

WELCOME MR TO DALLAS...

CPYRGHT

- ... A CITY so disgraced by a recent Liberal smear attempt that its officers
- ... A CITY that is an economic "boom town," not because of Federal hand
- ... A CITY that will continue to grow and prosper despite efforts by you
- ... A CITY that rejected your philosophy and policies in 1960 and will do so

MR. KENNEDY, despite contentions on the part of Dallas, the Dallas City Council, and members of your party, still have, through a Constitution largely ignored by you, the right to agree with you, and to criticize you.

In asserting this constitutional right, we wish to ask you publicly importance and interest to all free peoples everywhere—which These questions are:

WHY is Latin America turning either anti-American or Communist? Department policy, and your own Ivy-Tower pronouncement

WHY do you say we have built a "wall of freedom" around of your policy, thousands of Cubans have been imprisoned already murdered and thousands more awaiting execution and Cubans are living in slavery.

WHY have you approved the sale of wheat and corn to American soldiers "travel on their stomachs" just as ours do in South Viet Nam.

WHY did you host, salute and entertain the

"An unaffiliated and non-partisan"

P.O. Box 1792

"Seventeen states vote to destroy democracy as we know it." That was what the headline said, spread over more than half a page in a recent issue of *Look* magazine.

What horrendous thing have states done? Through their legislatures they have voted support for one more of the set of three "state's rights" constitutional amendments proposed by the Council of State Governments.

This dramatic headline pretty well expresses the theme of the principal opposition to the set of proposed amendments. It was amplified in the first paragraph of the *Look* article which described the campaign for the amendments as "a concerted effort... which, if successful, could destroy the power and authority of the government of the United States." It goes on: "The immediate goal is a constitutional convention to propose three amendments to the federal Constitution that would destroy democracy here as we know it."

That is poppycock. It has the twang of demagoguery. This particular nonsense, however, seems to be more a product of simple ignorance. Those who press this alarm apparently just don't grasp the fact that these proposed amendments are fully harmonious with the original spirit and nature of the Constitution, and would strengthen it.

This point is clearly illustrated by capsule descriptions of the proposals included in the *Look* article. Let's check them out, one by one.

"Amendment 1. State legislatures would win the power to amend the Constitution undeterred by the Congress." So explains *Look*.

The fact is that the state legislatures already have this power. The Constitution explicitly provides that on the application of the legislatures of two-thirds of the states Congress shall call a constitutional convention for the purpose of proposing amendments. Congress does not now hold any constitutional power to